

Will Big Data and AI Redefine Indonesia's Financial Future?

Kurniawan Arif Maspul¹, Nugrahani Kartika Putri²

¹University of the People, US

²University of East London, England

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.47134/jbk.d.v2i2.3739>

*Correspondence: Kurniawan Arif

Maspul

Email:

kurniawanarifmaspul@my.uopeople.edu

Received: 12-12-2024

Accepted: 10-01-2025

Published: 14-02-2025



Copyright: © 2025 by the authors. Submitted for open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

Abstract: The rapid integration of big data and artificial intelligence (AI) is fundamentally reshaping Indonesia's financial sector, driving unprecedented efficiency, innovation, and financial inclusion. As Southeast Asia's largest digital economy, Indonesia has embraced fintech solutions that leverage predictive analytics, machine learning, and automation to enhance risk management, streamline transactions, and expand financial services to previously underserved populations. This transformation aligns with global financial trends, yet it presents distinct regulatory, infrastructural, and ethical challenges. Drawing from Schumpeter's Innovation Theory, Information Asymmetry Theory, and Transaction Cost Economics, this study explores how big data and AI redefine financial operations, improve decision-making, and reduce market inefficiencies in the Indonesian banking ecosystem. Utilizing a qualitative phenomenological approach, this research synthesizes insights from industry experts, regulatory bodies, and financial analysts to assess the implications of data-driven strategies. Findings reveal that while big data optimizes risk assessment, fraud detection, and customer segmentation, regulatory hurdles, cybersecurity risks, and digital literacy gaps remain key barriers to sustainable adoption. As Indonesia continues its trajectory toward a data-centric financial infrastructure, balancing technological advancement with regulatory prudence will be critical in shaping an inclusive and resilient financial future. This study contributes to ongoing discourse on the intersection of financial digitalization, economic policy, and ethical AI deployment in emerging markets.

Keywords: Big Data-Driven Finance, AI in Financial Inclusion, Digital Banking Transformation, Regulatory Challenges in Fintech, Machine Learning in Risk Management

Introduction

The financial sector in Indonesia is undergoing an unprecedented digital transformation, driven by the proliferation of big data analytics, artificial intelligence (AI), machine learning (ML), and financial technology (fintech) innovations. As one of Southeast Asia's largest economies, Indonesia has witnessed a significant shift in financial services, with digital banking and fintech adoption accelerating at an exponential rate. According to the Financial Services Authority of Indonesia (Otoritas Jasa Keuangan, OJK), the number of fintech companies grew rapidly between 2018 and 2023, reflecting increasing consumer demand for digital financial services (OJK, 2023). This transformation aligns with global

trends, where the integration of big data and AI in finance has revolutionized risk management, customer segmentation, and fraud detection (World Bank, 2022). However, despite its rapid adoption, Indonesia's financial sector must navigate complex regulatory landscapes, data privacy concerns, and infrastructural limitations to sustain this growth effectively.

From a theoretical standpoint, the digitalization of Indonesia's financial industry can be analyzed through the lenses of Schumpeter's Innovation Theory, Information Asymmetry Theory, and Transaction Cost Economics. Schumpeter's theory (1934) highlights how technological innovation disrupts traditional industries, creating new market opportunities while rendering outdated systems obsolete (Schumpeter & Swedberg, 2021). The rise of fintech startups in Indonesia exemplifies this phenomenon, as digital lending platforms like Kredivo and Akulaku challenge conventional banking models by offering instant credit scoring and loan approvals using alternative data sources (McKinsey & Company, 2024). Meanwhile, Akerlof's (1978) Information Asymmetry Theory underscores the role of big data in bridging knowledge gaps between financial institutions and consumers, reducing market inefficiencies. AI-driven analytics provide banks with real-time insights into consumer behavior, creditworthiness, and market trends, minimizing risks associated with lending and investment decisions (Khade, 2016; Sun *et al.*, 2014). Furthermore, Coase's (2012) Transaction Cost Economics explains how big data analytics reduces costs associated with searching, negotiating, and monitoring financial transactions, enhancing operational efficiency across banking and fintech sectors.

Despite these advancements, the adoption of big data and AI in Indonesia's financial sector presents significant challenges. Data security and privacy concerns have intensified following the enactment of Indonesia's Personal Data Protection Law (PDP Law) in 2022, which mandates stringent data governance frameworks (DLA Piper, 2025; Kurniawan *et al.*, 2024). Additionally, the digital divide remains a pressing issue, with rural populations lacking access to stable internet infrastructure, limiting their participation in the formal financial ecosystem (Myers, 2021; World Economic Forum, 2020). These challenges necessitate a balanced approach where financial institutions must innovate responsibly, ensuring regulatory compliance while leveraging data-driven insights to drive financial inclusion.

This article examines the transformative impact of big data on Indonesia's financial sector, analyzing its role in risk management, customer segmentation, fraud prevention, and regulatory compliance. The conversation examines the relationship between technical breakthroughs and financial sustainability from both global and local viewpoints, providing a thorough knowledge of Indonesia's expanding digital economy.

Methodology

This study employs a qualitative phenomenological approach to explore the transformative impact of big data and AI in Indonesia's financial sector. Data is gathered through semi-structured interviews with 3 banking executives and 5 regulatory officials from Otoritas Jasa Keuangan (OJK). Additionally, three focus group discussions (FGDs)

with 7 financial consumers and small business owners provide diverse insights. Document analysis of reports from the World Bank and Bank Indonesia further contextualizes findings within economic and policy frameworks.

For analysis, thematic analysis (Braun & Clarke, 2006) is applied using a six-step coding process to identify key patterns and further ensuring structured insights. Content analysis of financial reports and policies tracks big data trends and AI governance. The approach provides reliability and depth by triangulating numerous data sources, providing a complete picture of the progress of digital money in Indonesia.

Result and Discussion

Big data analytics serves as a transformative tool in financial institutions, aligning with key economic theories such as information asymmetry theory and transaction cost economics. According to Akerlof (1978), information asymmetry occurs when one party in a transaction possesses more knowledge than the other, often resulting in market inefficiencies. Financial institutions in Indonesia leverage big data to bridge these information gaps by gaining comprehensive insights into customer behavior, creditworthiness, and market trends. This leads to more precise risk assessments, improved credit allocation, and increased financial inclusion.

Moreover, transaction cost economics, as introduced by Coase (2012), highlights the role of big data in reducing costs associated with searching, negotiating, and monitoring transactions. Indonesian banks and fintech startups use predictive analytics and AI-driven automation to streamline processes, reduce transaction costs, and improve service efficiency. These advancements position financial institutions as more competitive and adaptable in a rapidly evolving digital economy.

Personalized Banking through Big Data

One of the most impactful applications of big data analytics in financial institutions is customer segmentation. Banks can use complex analytical approaches like Recency, Frequency, and Monetary (RFM) analysis, clustering algorithms, and machine learning models to categorize consumers based on their behavior and preferences (Mohit, 2023; Khade, 2016). These segmentation strategies help financial institutions in tailoring their marketing campaigns, optimizing product offerings, and enhancing customer retention.

For example, Bank Mandiri and BCA, two of Indonesia's largest banks, utilize big data-driven segmentation to personalize financial services. RFM analysis allows them to identify high-value customers and offer exclusive benefits, thus strengthening loyalty programs. Additionally, machine learning models analyze vast datasets in real-time, predicting customer needs and improving cross-selling strategies. This data-driven approach not only enhances customer satisfaction but also maximizes revenue opportunities for financial institutions.

Enhancing Security and Accessibility

Big data analytics plays a crucial role in fraud detection and credit scoring, two fundamental components of financial security and risk management. Machine learning algorithms, such as decision trees, neural networks, and anomaly detection models, can identify irregular transaction patterns, flagging potential fraudulent activities in real time (John *et al.*, 2024). This proactive approach significantly reduces financial losses and enhances regulatory compliance for Indonesian banks.

Additionally, big data-powered credit scoring models offer a more accurate assessment of borrowers' creditworthiness, surpassing traditional methods that rely solely on credit history and income verification (Sivarajah *et al.*, 2024). Financial organizations can improve credit availability for marginalized communities by leveraging alternative data sources such as social media usage, utility payments, and transaction history. This is particularly relevant in Indonesia, where financial inclusion initiatives aim to integrate millions of unbanked individuals into the formal financial system. Digital lending platforms such as Kredivo and Akulaku leverage big data to assess risk and approve loans within minutes, enabling greater access to credit for small businesses and low-income borrowers.

As Indonesia's financial sector continues to digitize, big data analytics will remain a cornerstone of competitive advantage. Financial institutions must strike a balance between innovation and regulatory compliance, ensuring that data privacy and security are upheld while maximizing the benefits of data-driven decision-making. The integration of AI, blockchain, and real-time analytics will further enhance the capabilities of financial institutions, driving efficiency, personalization, and financial inclusivity. Indonesian banks and fintech firms can negotiate market uncertainties, mitigate risks, and reimagine the country's financial services future by exploiting big data on a continuous basis.

Adoption of Big Data in Indonesian Financial Institutions

The integration of big data analytics in Indonesian financial institutions is rapidly transforming the sector, driven by technological advancements, increasing customer demands, and regulatory requirements. As Indonesia's financial sector undergoes digital transformation, banks and fintech firms leverage big data to enhance decision-making, improve operational efficiency, and offer personalized services. According to the Financial Services Authority (OJK), approximately 65% of Indonesian banks have implemented big data solutions for risk management, customer segmentation, and fraud detection (OJK, 2023).

The Role of Big Data in Banking Operations

Indonesian banks, particularly Bank Rakyat Indonesia (BRI), Bank Mandiri, and Bank Central Asia (BCA), utilize big data analytics to optimize various operational processes. BRI, for instance, employs advanced data analytics to assess customer transactions, leading to more accurate loan approval processes and improved risk management. Similarly, BCA has developed AI-driven customer profiling techniques to tailor financial products according to consumer behavior patterns. These institutions

recognize that the effective adoption of big data enhances efficiency and profitability while fostering greater financial inclusion.

Furthermore, big data analytics aligns with several economic and management theories that explain its transformative impact on financial institutions:

1. Information Asymmetry Theory

Information asymmetry, as described by Akerlof (1978), occurs when one party in a transaction has more information than the other, leading to inefficiencies and suboptimal decision-making. In the financial sector, big data mitigates information asymmetry by providing real-time insights into customer behavior, creditworthiness, and market trends. Banks can make more informed lending decisions by evaluating large datasets, reducing the chance of non-performing loans (NPLs) and increasing financial access for unbanked communities.

2. Transaction Cost Economics

Coase (2012) introduced the theory of transaction cost economics, emphasizing that organizations seek to minimize costs associated with searching, negotiating, and monitoring transactions. Big data analytics facilitates this by automating customer verification processes (e.g., AI-powered KYC), enhancing fraud detection mechanisms, and streamlining regulatory reporting, ultimately reducing operational costs and improving service delivery.

Applications of Big Data in Indonesian Financial Institutions

1. Customer Segmentation and Personalization

One of the most significant applications of big data in Indonesian financial institutions is customer segmentation. Techniques such as Recency, Frequency, and Monetary (RFM) analysis, clustering algorithms, and AI-driven machine learning models enable institutions to categorize customers based on behavioral patterns and financial needs (Mohit, 2023; He *et al.*, 2023; Li & Yee, 2024). For instance, Bank Mandiri uses big data analytics to analyze transactional data, helping identify high-value customers and offering personalized financial products. This strategic approach has led to higher customer retention rates and improved cross-selling opportunities (Aurellia *et al.*, 2022).

2. Fraud Detection and Credit Scoring

Big data plays a crucial role in fraud detection and credit scoring, ensuring financial security while expanding access to credit:

- **Fraud Detection:** Machine learning algorithms, such as decision trees and neural networks, analyze transaction patterns to detect anomalies indicative of fraudulent activity (Bou Reslan & Jabbour Al Maalouf, 2024; Al-Qudah *et al.*, 2024). In Indonesia,

where digital transactions are increasing, big data helps banks proactively prevent fraudulent transactions.

- **Credit Scoring:** Traditional credit scoring models often exclude individuals without formal financial histories. Big data analytics enables institutions to evaluate alternative data sources, such as utility bill payments, mobile phone usage, and e-commerce transactions, to determine a borrower's creditworthiness. This approach is particularly relevant in Indonesia, where financial inclusion efforts aim to provide loans to underserved communities (Broby, 2022; Gür *et al.*, 2025).

Regulatory Compliance and Big Data in Indonesia

Regulatory compliance is a critical component of Indonesia's financial landscape, and big data analytics assists institutions in meeting stringent requirements:

1. Anti-Money Laundering (AML) and Know-Your-Customer (KYC) Compliance

Financial institutions must comply with anti-money laundering (AML) and know-your-customer (KYC) regulations set by OJK and Bank Indonesia. Big data enables real-time monitoring of transactions, detecting suspicious activities, and generating automated reports for regulators (OJK, 2024; Hasan *et al.*, 2020). AI-powered compliance tools help reduce the risk of financial crimes while enhancing the efficiency of regulatory reporting. For example one of Indonesian leading bank BCA has implemented AI-driven AML tools that analyze vast transaction datasets, allowing for early detection of unusual financial activities and improving compliance with Indonesian regulatory frameworks.

2. Data Privacy and Cybersecurity Challenges

The adoption of big data also raises concerns about data privacy and cybersecurity. Indonesia's Personal Data Protection Act (PDP Law) mandates financial institutions to safeguard consumer data from unauthorized access and breaches (Dorwart *et al.*, 2022; Arner *et al.*, 2022). Institutions are investing in advanced encryption technologies, blockchain-based security measures, and AI-driven anomaly detection systems to enhance data security.

Challenges and Future Directions

Despite the advantages of big data, Indonesian financial institutions face several challenges:

1. **Data Quality and Integration:** Many banks still struggle with fragmented legacy systems, making data integration complex.
2. **Talent Shortage:** The demand for data scientists and AI specialists outpaces supply, hindering big data adoption.
3. **Regulatory Uncertainty:** Evolving regulations require financial institutions to continuously adapt their big data strategies.

Future Trends in Big Data Adoption

- Expansion of AI and Blockchain: AI-driven predictive analytics and blockchain-based financial transactions are expected to redefine Indonesia's banking landscape.
- Open Banking and API Integration: The adoption of open banking frameworks will enable seamless data exchange between financial institutions and third-party providers.
- Sustainable Finance: Big data will play a pivotal role in assessing environmental, social, and governance (ESG) factors for sustainable investment decisions.

The adoption of big data analytics is revolutionizing Indonesian financial institutions, providing enhanced risk management, customer segmentation, fraud detection, and regulatory compliance. Grounded in economic and management theories, big data reduces information asymmetry and transaction costs, making financial services more efficient and inclusive. However, challenges related to data privacy, integration, and regulatory compliance must be addressed to fully leverage big data's potential. As Indonesia moves toward a digital-first financial ecosystem, big data will remain a key driver of innovation, competitiveness, and financial inclusion.

Fintech Regulation and Adoption Across Countries

The rapid growth of financial technology (fintech) has transformed the global financial landscape, with countries adopting varying regulatory frameworks and strategies to foster innovation while ensuring consumer protection and financial stability. Indonesia, as Southeast Asia's largest digital economy, has made significant strides in fintech adoption and regulation. However, when compared to other countries, particularly those with more mature fintech ecosystems such as the United Kingdom, Singapore, and China, Indonesia faces unique challenges and opportunities. This section provides a comprehensive comparison of Indonesia's fintech regulatory environment and adoption rates with those of other countries, drawing on global trends and economic theories.

Fintech Adoption in Indonesia: Progress and Challenges

Indonesia's fintech sector has experienced exponential growth, driven by a young, tech-savvy population and increasing internet penetration. According to the Financial Services Authority of Indonesia (OJK), the number of fintech companies in Indonesia grew from 167 in 2018 to over 400 by 2023, reflecting the country's rapid digital transformation (OJK, 2023; OJK, 2021). Key areas of fintech innovation in Indonesia include digital payments, peer-to-peer (P2P) lending, and blockchain-based solutions. However, despite this growth, Indonesia faces several challenges, including regulatory fragmentation, cybersecurity risks, and a digital divide between urban and rural areas.

One of the most significant challenges for Indonesia is the lack of a unified regulatory framework for fintech. While the OJK has introduced guidelines for digital banking and P2P lending, the regulatory landscape remains fragmented, with multiple agencies overseeing different aspects of fintech. For example, Bank Indonesia regulates digital payments, while

the OJK oversees P2P lending and digital banking. This fragmentation can create regulatory uncertainty and hinder the growth of fintech startups (DLA Piper, 2025).

Comparative Analysis: Indonesia vs. the United Kingdom, Singapore, and China

1. United Kingdom: A Global Leader in Fintech Regulation

The United Kingdom (UK) is widely regarded as a global leader in fintech regulation, with a well-established regulatory framework that balances innovation and consumer protection. The UK's Financial Conduct Authority (FCA) has been at the forefront of fintech regulation, introducing initiatives such as the Regulatory Sandbox, which allows fintech companies to test innovative products in a controlled environment (FCA, n.d.; ScaleUp Institute, n.d.). The sandbox has been instrumental in fostering innovation while ensuring that new products comply with regulatory requirements.

In contrast to Indonesia, the UK has a more unified regulatory approach, with the FCA serving as the primary regulator for fintech. This centralized approach reduces regulatory fragmentation and provides greater clarity for fintech startups. Additionally, the UK has implemented robust data protection laws, such as the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR), which ensures that consumer data is handled responsibly (Arner *et al.*, 2022). These factors have contributed to the UK's position as one of the world's leading fintech hubs, with London often referred to as the "fintech capital of the world."

2. Singapore: A Regional Fintech Hub with Proactive Regulation

Singapore is another global leader in fintech, with a proactive regulatory approach that has made it a regional hub for fintech innovation. The Monetary Authority of Singapore (MAS) has implemented a comprehensive regulatory framework that includes the Fintech Regulatory Sandbox, similar to the UK's model, which allows fintech companies to experiment with new technologies under regulatory supervision (Fintech News Singapore, 2016; Singapore Economic Development Board, n.d.). Singapore's regulatory approach is characterized by its flexibility and responsiveness to emerging technologies, such as blockchain and artificial intelligence.

Singapore's regulatory framework is also more unified than Indonesia's, with the MAS serving as the primary regulator for fintech. This centralized approach has enabled Singapore to create a conducive environment for fintech innovation, attracting significant investment from global players. Additionally, Singapore has implemented stringent cybersecurity measures and data protection laws, such as the Personal Data Protection Act (PDPA), which ensures that consumer data is safeguarded (PwC Singapore, n.d.; Singapore Economic Development Board, n.d.). These factors have contributed to Singapore's reputation as a leading fintech hub in Asia.

3. China: Rapid Fintech Adoption with State-Led Regulation

China represents a unique case in fintech regulation and adoption, with rapid growth driven by state-led initiatives and a highly digitalized economy. China's fintech ecosystem is dominated by tech giants such as Ant Group and Tencent, which have revolutionized digital payments through platforms like Alipay and WeChat Pay. The Chinese government has played a significant role in fostering fintech innovation, with policies that encourage the development of digital infrastructure and financial inclusion.

However, China's regulatory approach is more state-centric compared to Indonesia and other countries. The Chinese government has implemented strict regulations to control fintech activities, particularly in areas such as P2P lending and digital payments. For example, in 2021, the Chinese government imposed stringent regulations on Ant Group, requiring it to restructure its business and comply with stricter capital requirements (Reuters, 2021). While these regulations have helped mitigate risks, they have also raised concerns about the impact on innovation and competition.

Key Differences and Lessons for Indonesia

When comparing Indonesia with the UK, Singapore, and China, several key differences emerge in terms of fintech regulation and adoption:

1. **Regulatory Fragmentation vs. Centralization:** Indonesia's fragmented regulatory landscape contrasts with the more centralized approaches of the UK and Singapore. A unified regulatory framework, as seen in the UK and Singapore, could provide greater clarity and reduce uncertainty for fintech startups in Indonesia.
2. **Regulatory Sandboxes:** Both the UK and Singapore have successfully implemented regulatory sandboxes to foster innovation while ensuring compliance. Indonesia has initiated similar programs through the OJK, but these are still in their early stages. Expanding and refining these sandboxes could help Indonesia accelerate fintech innovation.
3. **Data Protection and Cybersecurity:** The UK and Singapore have robust data protection laws and cybersecurity measures in place, which are critical for building consumer trust in fintech. Indonesia's Personal Data Protection Law (PDP Law) is a step in the right direction, but more stringent enforcement and awareness are needed to ensure compliance.
4. **State-Led vs. Market-Driven Innovation:** China's state-led approach to fintech regulation differs from the market-driven models of the UK and Singapore. While state-led initiatives can drive rapid growth, they may also stifle innovation and competition. Indonesia can learn from China's experience by balancing state intervention with market-driven innovation.

Indonesia's fintech sector has made significant progress, but it still lags behind global leaders such as the UK, Singapore, and China in terms of regulatory maturity and adoption

rates. To fully realize the potential of fintech, Indonesia must address regulatory fragmentation, enhance data protection and cybersecurity measures, and foster a more conducive environment for innovation. Indonesia can position itself as a regional fintech hub by learning from other countries' experiences, thereby driving financial inclusion and economic growth.

AI Ethics in Financial Services: The Risk of Bias in Credit Ratings

The integration of artificial intelligence (AI) and big data analytics in Indonesia's financial sector has brought about significant advancements in credit scoring, risk management, and financial inclusion. However, the use of AI in credit ratings also raises critical ethical concerns, particularly regarding the risk of bias. Bias in AI-driven credit scoring systems can perpetuate existing inequalities, exacerbate financial exclusion, and undermine trust in financial institutions.

Meanwhile, AI algorithms used in credit scoring rely on vast datasets to assess an individual's creditworthiness. These datasets often include traditional financial data (e.g., credit history, income) as well as alternative data sources such as social media activity, utility payments, and e-commerce transactions (Sivarajah *et al.*, 2024). While the use of alternative data can improve financial inclusion by providing credit access to individuals with limited formal financial histories, it also introduces the risk of bias. AI systems may inadvertently perpetuate or even amplify existing biases present in the training data, leading to unfair or discriminatory outcomes.

The Deep-Rooted Bias in AI Credit Scoring and Its Far-Reaching Consequences

In an era where artificial intelligence (AI) is celebrated as the harbinger of efficiency and precision, the stark reality is that its use in credit scoring perpetuates deep-seated biases, reinforcing social and economic inequalities. AI, despite its facade of objectivity, is only as unbiased as the data it is trained on, and therein lies the problem—historical injustices, systemic discrimination, and algorithmic blind spots become deeply embedded within its decision-making framework.

1. The Ghosts of the Past: Historical Bias and the Burden of Discrimination

AI credit scoring systems inherit the prejudices of past lending practices, and in doing so, they become complicit in sustaining financial exclusion. If banks historically denied loans to specific demographic groups—whether due to racial, gender, or socioeconomic biases—then AI, fed by this flawed data, learns to replicate these patterns. These biases remain embedded within the system, creating a cycle where certain groups are unfairly labeled as high-risk borrowers, despite having the financial stability to qualify for loans (Broby, 2022). The consequence? A modern financial apartheid where AI unwittingly locks individuals into generational economic stagnation, denying them the means to build wealth and financial security.

2. Data Representation Bias: The Silent Erasure of the Underserved

The notion that AI is data-driven and, therefore, objective is a dangerous illusion. When datasets fail to capture the diversity of the population, AI-generated credit scores become skewed. Imagine a system predominantly trained on financial behaviors of urban, middle-class borrowers—how, then, can it fairly assess the creditworthiness of rural entrepreneurs, gig workers, or first-generation borrowers? It cannot. The exclusion of these groups from training data leads to grossly inaccurate assessments, disproportionately impacting those already on the fringes of financial accessibility (Gür *et al.*, 2025). This omission is not just a technical oversight—it is a digital form of economic disenfranchisement.

3. Algorithmic Bias: When the Machine Chooses Stereotypes Over Fairness

The very architecture of AI models can encode and perpetuate bias. When credit-scoring algorithms prioritize factors such as income level over indicators like payment consistency, they create unfair disadvantages for borrowers with non-traditional financial histories. A single mother working multiple jobs to sustain her family may have an impeccable record of paying rent, utilities, and informal credit sources, yet an AI model biased toward formal financial transactions may deem her uncreditworthy. Even more concerning is the opacity of these algorithms—black-box models obscure the reasoning behind credit decisions, making it nearly impossible for affected individuals to challenge unjust denials (Jeen *et al.*, 2024). If we cannot question the machine, how can we correct the injustice?

4. Feedback Loops: When Bias Reinforces Bias

Bias in AI credit scoring is not a static issue—it is self-perpetuating. AI models that deny loans to marginalized groups inadvertently worsen their financial profiles. Without access to credit, these individuals have fewer opportunities to build a positive financial history, making them appear even riskier in future assessments. This creates a vicious cycle, where exclusion today guarantees exclusion tomorrow (Montevechi *et al.*, 2024). The algorithm, left unchecked, does not correct itself; it compounds inequality with every iteration, widening the gap between the privileged and the marginalized.

The Economic and Theoretical Lenses of AI Bias

1. Information Asymmetry: The Distortion of Financial Access

Akerlof's (1978) Information Asymmetry Theory underscores how unequal access to information distorts markets. AI bias exacerbates this asymmetry by restricting credit to those who most need it while favoring those who already have financial stability (Akerlof, 1978). This not only deepens economic divides but also stifles financial mobility, leaving millions trapped in systemic disadvantage.

2. Transaction Cost Economics: The Hidden Cost of Bias

Coase's (2012) Transaction Cost Economics suggests that technological advancements should reduce financial barriers. Ironically, biased AI systems do the opposite—they increase transaction costs for marginalized groups, forcing them to seek alternative (often predatory) financial services (Coase, 2012). The result? An economy where financial exclusion is not just an outcome but a systemic feature.

3. Schumpeter's Innovation Theory: A Revolution with a Flawed Compass

Schumpeter's (1934) vision of innovation as a transformative force collapses when bias is embedded within technological advancements. AI in credit scoring should be a tool for financial inclusion, yet if its models disproportionately favor the already privileged, it becomes an agent of exclusion rather than empowerment (Schumpeter & Swedberg, 2021). The promise of fintech is lost when innovation serves only the elite.

Regulatory and Ethical Failures: Where Do We Go from Here?

Current regulations lag behind the rapid adoption of AI in financial systems. Indonesia's Personal Data Protection Law (PDP Law), enacted in 2022, enforces data privacy but fails to directly address algorithmic bias (Kurniawan *et al.*, 2024). The Financial Services Authority of Indonesia (OJK) has made strides in digital transformation but has yet to establish comprehensive frameworks for mitigating AI bias (OJK, 2023). The regulatory gaps leave consumers vulnerable, with little recourse against algorithmic discrimination.

Key Ethical Dilemmas:

- **Fairness and Discrimination:** AI must not become a digital gatekeeper that reinforces socioeconomic barriers (Arner *et al.*, 2022).
- **Transparency and Explainability:** If individuals cannot understand why they are denied credit, how can they seek justice? (Provost, 2013)
- **Accountability:** Who is responsible for biased AI decisions—the developers, financial institutions, or regulators? The absence of clear accountability stalls efforts to rectify systemic biases (Dorwart *et al.*, 2022).

Breaking the Cycle of AI Bias in Credit Scoring

The time for passive observation has passed. Addressing AI bias in credit scoring requires immediate, multifaceted intervention:

1. **Diverse and Representative Data:** Financial institutions must ensure datasets reflect the full spectrum of borrowers (Gür *et al.*, 2025).
2. **Algorithmic Audits:** Independent, transparent audits must become industry-standard to identify and rectify bias (Jeen *et al.*, 2024).
3. **Explainable AI (XAI):** AI models must be interpretable, ensuring transparency and fairness in decision-making (Provost, 2013).
4. **Regulatory Overhaul:** Policymakers must establish clear guidelines for detecting, preventing, and penalizing AI-driven discrimination (OJK, 2023).

5. **Ethical AI Training:** The developers of AI credit scoring models must be trained in fairness, accountability, and bias mitigation (Arner *et al.*, 2022).

If AI is to be the future of financial decision-making, it must serve all, not just the privileged few. The fight against algorithmic bias is not merely a technical challenge—it is a moral imperative. The future of financial justice depends on our willingness to confront and dismantle these biases today.

Moreover, the risk of bias in AI-driven credit ratings poses significant ethical and regulatory challenges for Indonesia's financial sector. While AI has the potential to enhance financial inclusion and improve credit access, biased algorithms can perpetuate inequalities and undermine trust in financial institutions. Addressing these challenges requires a collaborative effort involving financial institutions, regulators, and technology providers. By adopting diverse and representative datasets, conducting regular algorithmic audits, and developing transparent and explainable AI models, Indonesia can harness the benefits of AI while minimizing the risks of bias. Furthermore, robust regulatory frameworks and ethical AI training programs will be essential to ensure that AI-driven credit scoring systems are fair, transparent, and accountable.

Challenges in Implementing Big Data Analytics in Indonesian Financial Institutions

The integration of big data analytics into financial institutions has revolutionized the industry by enhancing decision-making, risk management, and customer experiences. However, the successful implementation of big data in Indonesia faces significant challenges, including data privacy concerns, infrastructure and talent gaps, and integration with legacy systems. These challenges must be addressed to maximize the potential of big data in Indonesia's financial sector.

Data Privacy and Security

Ensuring data privacy and security remains one of the most critical obstacles for Indonesian financial institutions adopting big data analytics. The enactment of Indonesia's Personal Data Protection Law (PDP Law) in 2022 has introduced stringent regulations on how financial institutions collect, store, and process customer data (Kurniawan *et al.*, 2024). Non-compliance with these regulations could result in hefty fines and reputational damage.

1. Regulatory Challenges

Financial institutions must navigate a complex regulatory landscape that includes compliance with Know Your Customer (KYC), Know your Business (KYB) as the part of Anti-Money Laundering (AML) regulations. The Financial Services Authority of Indonesia (Otoritas Jasa Keuangan - OJK) has mandated robust data security frameworks to prevent financial crimes, yet many institutions struggle to implement these due to inadequate cybersecurity infrastructures (Wong & Wei, 2018). The second challenges will be the Data Privacy Law, where it is stated in the Indonesia Data Privacy Regulation of 2024 where each individual are responsible with their own data, including the third party using their data should be under individual's consent. (Indonesia.go.id,

n.d.). The data privacy regulations is a big challenge for financial institutions to do the collection activities and causing increase of Non Performing Loan of financial institution.

2. Cybersecurity Risks

With the increasing use of digital banking and e-wallet services, cyber threats such as data breaches, phishing attacks, and ransomware have surged (Johora *et al.*, 2024; Salminen *et al.*, 2023). A 2023 report from Bank Indonesia highlighted that 65% of cyber incidents in the financial sector were linked to inadequate data encryption and weak access controls. To mitigate these risks, financial institutions must invest in advanced encryption protocols, AI-driven threat detection systems, and cybersecurity training for employees (Arcuri *et al.*, 2020).

3. Infrastructure and Talent Gaps

a) Lack of IT Infrastructure

The adoption of big data analytics requires a robust IT infrastructure, including cloud computing capabilities, data lakes, and high-performance computing (HPC) systems. However, many Indonesian banks and financial firms still rely on on-premise data centers with limited scalability and processing power (Salminen *et al.*, 2023). The transition to cloud-based big data solutions remains slow due to high costs, regulatory concerns, and a lack of skilled personnel.

b) Shortage of Skilled Data Scientists

One of the most pressing issues is the shortage of skilled data scientists, analysts, and AI specialists in Indonesia. According to McKinsey & Company (2024), the demand for data professionals in Southeast Asia is expected to grow by 40% by 2025, but Indonesia currently lacks enough graduates and experienced professionals in machine learning, big data analytics, and cybersecurity. Financial institutions must collaborate with universities, provide specialized training programs, and attract international talent to bridge this gap (Li & Young, 2024).

4. Integration with Legacy Systems

a) Outdated IT Systems

Many Indonesian financial institutions still operate on legacy IT systems developed decades ago, which are incompatible with modern big data architectures. These outdated systems lack the flexibility needed to integrate real-time data processing, cloud computing, and AI-driven analytics (Safari *et al.*, 2016). Replacing these systems is not only costly but also poses operational risks, such as potential downtime and security vulnerabilities during migration (John *et al.*, 2024).

b) Phased Approach to Modernization

A gradual, phased approach is necessary for successful integration. Many financial institutions have started implementing hybrid cloud strategies, where critical operations remain on legacy systems while advanced analytics functions are migrated to cloud environments (Bank Mandiri, 2020). This hybrid approach allows

institutions to leverage big data capabilities while minimizing disruption to existing operations.

Despite the immense potential of big data analytics in transforming Indonesian financial institutions, challenges such as data privacy concerns, cybersecurity threats, infrastructure constraints, talent shortages, and legacy system integration hinder widespread adoption. Addressing these challenges requires a multi-stakeholder approach involving regulatory support, technological investments, workforce development, and phased modernization strategies. As a result, by overcoming these constraints, Indonesia's financial sector can harness the full potential of big data analytics, increasing competitiveness and generating long-term prosperity.

Best Practices for Leveraging Big Data in Indonesian Financial Institutions

Data-Driven Decision-Making: From Intuition to Intelligence

The shift towards data-driven decision-making is crucial for Indonesian financial institutions to remain competitive in an increasingly digital landscape. Traditional decision-making in banking often relied on experience, intuition, or historical trends. However, big data analytics enables a more empirical approach by leveraging vast datasets for precise insights and strategic forecasting (Provost, 2013).

Evidence-Based Management (EBM) suggests that decisions should be made using the best available evidence, rather than solely relying on intuition or past practices (Pfeffer & Sutton, 2006). In financial institutions, this means integrating big data analytics into decision-making frameworks, ensuring that risk assessments, credit approvals, and investment strategies are backed by empirical evidence rather than subjective judgment.

Furthermore, Bank Mandiri, one of Indonesia's largest banks, has leveraged big data analytics to optimize its loan approval process. Using machine learning models to evaluate customer transaction histories, the bank dramatically improved its credit risk assessment accuracy, cutting non-performing loans (NPLs) by 12% (Montevechi *et al.*, 2024; OJK, 2023).

Collaborative Ecosystems: Bridging Infrastructure and Talent Gaps

Big data implementation requires robust infrastructure and a highly skilled workforce—both of which remain challenges for many Indonesian financial institutions. Collaborative ecosystems, where banks partner with fintech companies and technology providers, have emerged as an effective strategy to overcome these barriers.

Chesbrough's (2003) Open Innovation Theory posits that organizations should leverage external knowledge sources to accelerate innovation. For Indonesian banks, this means forming strategic alliances with fintech startups, cloud computing providers, and artificial intelligence (AI) firms to enhance big data capabilities. For instance, Bank Rakyat Indonesia (BRI) has collaborated with fintech startups to improve its microfinance services. BRI has expanded lending access to previously unbanked groups by integrating alternative

credit scoring methods from fintech partners, increasing loan approvals by 30% while retaining low default rates (World Bank, 2022).

Furthermore, in Singapore, financial institutions benefit from government-backed initiatives such as the Monetary Authority of Singapore's (MAS) Fintech Sandbox, which facilitates experimentation with big data solutions (Singapore Economic Development Board, 2022). In contrast, Indonesian banks often rely on private sector partnerships due to regulatory constraints. Developing similar regulatory support mechanisms in Indonesia could further accelerate innovation.

Continuous Innovation: Adapting to Emerging Risks and Opportunities

Big data is a rapidly evolving field, and financial institutions must adopt a mindset of continuous innovation to stay ahead. This involves exploring advanced analytical techniques such as predictive analytics, natural language processing (NLP), and artificial intelligence (AI) to enhance financial services.

Teece *et al.* (1997) define dynamic capabilities as an organization's ability to integrate, build, and reconfigure internal and external competencies in response to changing environments. For financial institutions, this means continuously updating big data tools and methodologies to address evolving challenges such as fraud detection and regulatory compliance. For instance, Bank Central Asia (BCA) has implemented AI-driven fraud detection systems that analyze transaction patterns in real-time. Using deep learning algorithms, the bank reduced fraudulent transactions by 35% while increasing consumer confidence and security (Salminen *et al.*, 2023).

Future Outlook: The Role of Regulatory Sandboxes

As regulatory frameworks evolve, financial institutions must work closely with regulators to experiment with new big data applications. Indonesia's Otoritas Jasa Keuangan (OJK) has initiated regulatory sandbox programs, allowing banks to test innovative data analytics solutions in a controlled environment (OJK, 2023). Expanding such initiatives will be critical for fostering sustainable innovation in the financial sector.

Indonesian financial institutions must strike a balance between leveraging big data for innovation and ensuring compliance with regulatory requirements such as the Personal Data Protection Law (PDP Law, 2022). Banks can maximize the potential of big data while retaining operational resilience by implementing evidence-based decision-making, building collaborative ecosystems, and continuously innovating. Moreover, in a globalized and data-driven economy, the financial institutions that successfully integrate these best practices will not only gain a competitive edge but also contribute to Indonesia's broader economic growth and financial inclusion goals.

Conclusion

The digital transformation of Indonesia's financial sector, driven by big data analytics, artificial intelligence, and machine learning, represents a paradigm shift in how financial institutions operate, compete, and serve their customers. Indonesian banks and fintech firms

have improved risk management, personalized banking experiences, and fraud detection mechanisms through the use of innovative data-driven approaches. These innovations align with established economic theories, such as information asymmetry and transaction cost economics, illustrating how financial institutions can mitigate uncertainty, optimize operations, and extend financial services to previously underserved populations. However, the journey toward a fully digitalized financial ecosystem is not without challenges. Regulatory compliance, cybersecurity threats, data integration complexities, and a shortage of skilled professionals continue to pose significant barriers. Addressing these constraints requires a multi-stakeholder approach, balancing technological advancements with robust regulatory frameworks to ensure security, privacy, and consumer trust.

Looking ahead, the sustainable evolution of Indonesia's financial sector will depend on its ability to foster continuous innovation while maintaining systemic stability. The integration of AI-driven predictive analytics, blockchain technology, and real-time monitoring systems will redefine the competitive landscape, enabling financial institutions to remain agile in an increasingly data-centric world. Additionally, expanding financial inclusion through big data-powered credit scoring models and alternative lending platforms will be pivotal in bridging socio-economic gaps. As regulatory sandboxes and collaborative fintech ecosystems emerge, Indonesia has the potential to position itself as a regional leader in digital finance. Ultimately, the successful transformation of the financial sector hinges on a holistic approach—one that embraces innovation while upholding ethical, regulatory, and sustainability principles.

References

- Akerlof, G. A. (1978). The market for "lemons": Quality uncertainty and the market mechanism. In *Uncertainty in economics* (pp. 235-251). Academic Press.
- Al-Qudah, A. A., Al-Okaily, M., Shiyyab, F. S., Taha, A. A., Almajali, D. A., Masa'deh, R. E., & Warrad, L. H. (2024). Determinants of Digital Payment Adoption Among Generation Z: An Empirical Study. *Journal of Risk and Financial Management*, 17(11), 521.
- Arcuri, M. C., Gai, L., Ielasi, F., & Ventisette, E. (2020). Cyber attacks on hospitality sector: Stock market reaction. *Journal of Hospitality and Tourism Technology*, 11(2), 277-290.
- Arner, D. W., Castellano, G. G., & Selga, E. K. (2022). Financial Data Governance. *Hastings LJ*, 74, 235.
- Aurellia, O. A., Kirana, L. P., & Kaltum, U. (2022). Digital Transformation Strategies In The Banking Industry: A Case Study of The Implementation of New Technology at PT. Bank Mandiri (Persero) TBK. *Ganaya: Jurnal Ilmu Sosial dan Humaniora*, 5(4), 474-481.
- Bank Mandiri. (2020). *Company strategy*. Bank Mandiri. Retrieved February 10, 2025, from <https://www.bankmandiri.co.id/documents/38268824/38312441/D.2.1.+Company+Strategy.pdf/d2fcec9c-01fc-bdc6-19f4-b5f0d51917e6>
- Bou Reslan, F., & Jabbour Al Maalouf, N. (2024). Assessing the Transformative Impact of AI Adoption on Efficiency, Fraud Detection, and Skill Dynamics in Accounting Practices. *Journal of Risk and Financial Management*, 17(12), 577.

- Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative research in psychology*, 3(2), 77-101.
- Broby, D. (2022). The use of predictive analytics in finance. *The Journal of Finance and Data Science*, 8, 145-161.
- Chesbrough, H. W. (2003). Open innovation: The new imperative for creating and profiting from technology. *Harvard Business School*.
- Coase, R. H. (2012). The nature of the firm. In *The Roots of Logistics* (pp. 317-333). Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg.
- DLA Piper. (2025). *Data protection laws of the world: Indonesia*. DLA Piper. Retrieved February 10, 2025, from <https://www.dlapiperdataprotection.com/index.html?t=law&c=ID>
- Dorwart, H., Demetzou, K., Paulger, D., Lee, J. K. T., Matheson, L., & Perera, I. (2022, October 19). *Indonesia's personal data protection bill: Overview, key takeaways, and context*. Future of Privacy Forum. Retrieved February 10, 2025, from <https://fpf.org/blog/indonesias-personal-data-protection-bill-overview-key-takeaways-and-context/>
- Financial Conduct Authority. (n.d.). *FCA regulatory sandbox guide*. Financial Conduct Authority. Retrieved February 13, 2025, from <https://www.fca.org.uk/publication/fca/fca-regulatory-sandbox-guide.pdf>
- Financial Services Authority (OJK). (2023, December 29). *OJK issues regulation and guideline to support banking sector digital transformation*. OJK. Retrieved February 10, 2025, from <https://www.ojk.go.id/en/berita-dan-kegiatan/siaran-pers/Pages/OJK-Issues-Regulation-And-Guideline-To-Support-Banking-Sector-Digital-Transformation.aspx>
- Financial Services Authority (OJK). (2024, August 8). *OJK annual report 2023: Strengthening the financial services sector to maintain economic growth*. OJK. Retrieved February 10, 2025, from <https://ojk.go.id/en/data-dan-statistik/laporan-tahunan/Pages/OJK-Annual-Report-2023.aspx>
- Financial Services Authority (OJK). (2024, August 8). *OJK annual report 2023: Strengthening the financial services sector to maintain economic growth* (PDF). OJK. Retrieved February 10, 2025, from <https://www.ojk.go.id/en/data-dan-statistik/laporan-tahunan/Documents/OJK%20Annual%20Report%202023.pdf>
- Fintech News Singapore. (2016, November 16). *MAS issues regulatory sandbox guidelines for fintech experiments*. Fintech News Singapore. Retrieved February 13, 2025, from <https://fintechnews.sg/6993/fintech/mas-issues-regulatory-sandbox-guidelines-fintech-experiments/>
- Gür, Y. E., Toğaçar, M., & Solak, B. (2025). Integration of CNN Models and Machine Learning Methods in Credit Score Classification: 2D Image Transformation and Feature Extraction. *Computational Economics*, 1-45.
- Hasan, M. M., Popp, J., & Oláh, J. (2020). Current landscape and influence of big data on finance. *Journal of Big Data*, 7(1), 21.
- He, W., Hung, J. L., & Liu, L. (2023). Impact of big data analytics on banking: a case study. *Journal of Enterprise Information Management*, 36(2), 459-479.

- Indonesia.go.id. (n.d.). *Era baru pelindungan data pribadi*. Indonesia.go.id. Retrieved February 13, 2025, from <https://indonesia.go.id/kategori/editorial/8725/era-baru-pelindungan-data-pribadi?lang=1>
- Jeen, K., Kim, S., & Lee, H. (2024). AI-powered fraud detection in banking. *Journal of Machine Learning Applications*, 19(1), 1-23.
- John, J. M., Shobayo, O., & Ogunleye, B. (2023). An exploration of clustering algorithms for customer segmentation in the UK retail market. *Analytics*, 2(4), 809-823.
- Johora, F. T., Hasan, R., Farabi, S. F., Akter, J., & Al Mahmud, M. A. (2024). AI-Powered Fraud Detection in Banking: Safeguarding Financial Transactions. *The American journal of management and economics innovations*, 6(06), 8-22.
- Khade, A. A. (2016). Performing customer behavior analysis using big data analytics. *Procedia computer science*, 79, 986-992.
- Kurniawan, K. D., Hehanussa, D. J., Setiawan, R., Susilowati, I., & Helfisar, D. (2024). Criminal Sanctions and Personal Data Protection in Indonesia. *Lex Publica*, 11(2), 221-247.
- Li, X., & Lee, Y. S. (2024). Customer Segmentation Marketing Strategy Based on Big Data Analysis and Clustering Algorithm. *Journal of Cases on Information Technology (JCIT)*, 26(1), 1-16.
- McKinsey & Company. (2024, December 20). *Southeast Asia quarterly economic review: Mixed growth*. McKinsey & Company. Retrieved February 10, 2025, from <https://www.mckinsey.com/featured-insights/future-of-asia/southeast-asia-quarterly-economic-review>
- Mohit, G. (2023). *Customer Segmentation using Machine Learning applied to Banking Industry* (Doctoral dissertation, Hochschule Neu Ulm).
- Montevecchi, A. A., de Carvalho Miranda, R., Medeiros, A. L., & Montevecchi, J. A. B. (2024). Advancing credit risk modelling with Machine Learning: A comprehensive review of the state-of-the-art. *Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence*, 137, 109082.
- Myers, J. (2021, October 8). *How to build a bridge across the digital divide*. World Economic Forum. Retrieved February 10, 2025, from <https://www.weforum.org/stories/2021/10/how-to-build-a-bridge-across-the-digital-divide/>
- Otoritas Jasa Keuangan. (2021, December 15). *OJK issues new regulation to enhance financial inclusion and financial literacy*. Otoritas Jasa Keuangan. Retrieved February 13, 2025, from <https://www.ojk.go.id/en/berita-dan-kegiatan/siaran-pers/Pages/OJK-Issues-New-Regulation-to-Enhance-Financial-Inclusion-and-Financial-Literacy.aspx>
- Otoritas Jasa Keuangan. (2023). *Laporan tahunan OJK 2023*. Otoritas Jasa Keuangan. Retrieved February 13, 2025, from <https://www.ojk.go.id/id/data-dan-statistik/laporan-tahunan/Pages/Laporan-Tahunan-OJK-2023.aspx>
- Pfeffer, J., & Sutton, R. I. (2006). *Hard facts, dangerous half-truths, and total nonsense: Profiting from evidence-based management*. Harvard Business Press.
- Provost, F. (2013). *Data Science for Business: What you need to know about data mining and data-analytic thinking* (Vol. 355). O'Reilly Media, Inc.

- PwC Singapore. (n.d.). *Fintech innovation in Singapore*. PwC Singapore. Retrieved February 13, 2025, from <https://www.pwc.com/sg/en/publications/fintech-innovation-in-singapore.html>
- Reuters. (2021, July 27). *Education and Bitcoin: China's season of regulatory crackdown*. Reuters. Retrieved February 13, 2025, from <https://www.reuters.com/world/china/education-bitcoin-chinas-season-regulatory-crackdown-2021-07-27/>
- Safari, F., Safari, N., & Montazer, G. A. (2016). Customer lifetime value determination based on RFM model. *Marketing Intelligence & Planning*, 34(4), 446-461.
- Salminen, J., Mustak, M., Sufyan, M., & Jansen, B. J. (2023). How can algorithms help in segmenting users and customers? A systematic review and research agenda for algorithmic customer segmentation. *Journal of Marketing Analytics*, 11(4), 677-692.
- ScaleUp Institute. (n.d.). FCA regulatory sandbox. ScaleUp Institute. Retrieved February 13, 2025, from <https://www.scaleupinstitute.org.uk/programmes/fca-regulatory-sandbox-2/#:~:text=The%20Financial%20Conduct%20Authority%E2%80%99s%20Regulatory%20Sandbox%2C%20launched%20in,inclu%20Africa%2C%20East%20Asia%20and%20the%20Pacific%20regions.>
- Schumpeter, J. A., & Swedberg, R. (2021). *The theory of economic development*. Routledge.
- Singapore Economic Development Board. (2022, August 22). *How Singapore's FinTech Regulatory Sandbox is helping fintech innovators accelerate time to market*. Singapore EDB. Retrieved February 10, 2025, from <https://www.edb.gov.sg/en/business-insights/insights/how-singapore-s-fintech-regulatory-sandbox-is-helping-fintech-innovators-accelerate-time-to-market.html>
- Singapore Economic Development Board. (n.d.). *How Singapore's fintech regulatory sandbox is helping fintech innovators accelerate time to market*. Singapore Economic Development Board. Retrieved February 13, 2025, from <https://www.edb.gov.sg/en/business-insights/insights/how-singapore-s-fintech-regulatory-sandbox-is-helping-fintech-innovators-accelerate-time-to-market.html#:~:text=The%20Sandbox%20gives%20Singapore-based%20players%20a%20well-defined%2C%20live,about%20full%20regulatory%20compliance%20during%20the%20sandbox%20period.>
- Singapore Economic Development Board. (n.d.). *How Singapore's fintech regulatory sandbox is helping fintech innovators accelerate time to market*. Singapore Economic Development Board. Retrieved February 13, 2025, from <https://www.edb.gov.sg/en/business-insights/insights/how-singapore-s-fintech-regulatory-sandbox-is-helping-fintech-innovators-accelerate-time-to-market.html>
- Sivarajah, U., Kumar, S., Kumar, V., Chatterjee, S., & Li, J. (2024). A study on big data analytics and innovation: From technological and business cycle perspectives. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 202, 123328.
- Sun, N., Morris, J. G., Xu, J., Zhu, X., & Xie, M. (2014). iCARE: A framework for big data-based banking customer analytics. *IBM Journal of Research and Development*, 58(5/6), 4-1.

-
- Teece, D. J., Pisano, G., & Shuen, A. (1997). Dynamic capabilities and strategic management. *Strategic management journal*, 18(7), 509-533.
- Wang, D. N., Li, L., & Zhao, D. (2022). Corporate finance risk prediction based on LightGBM. *Information Sciences*, 602, 259-268.
- Wong, E., & Wei, Y. (2018). Customer online shopping experience data analytics: Integrated customer segmentation and customised services prediction model. *International Journal of Retail & Distribution Management*, 46(4), 406-420.
- World Bank. (2021, January). *Indonesia promoting financial access and inclusion: Fintech for financial inclusion deep dive study*. World Bank. Retrieved February 10, 2025, from <https://documents1.worldbank.org/curated/en/274411623309033336/pdf/Indonesia-Promoting-Financial-Access-and-Inclusion-Fintech-for-Financial-Inclusion-Deep-Dive-Study.pdf>
- World Economic Forum. (2020, July). *Accelerating digital inclusion in the new normal*. World Economic Forum. Retrieved February 10, 2025, from https://www3.weforum.org/docs/WEF_Accelerating_Digital_Inclusion_in_the_New_Normal_Report_2020.pdf